

# INDIANA UNIVERSITY EAST FACULTY SENATE MINUTES

March 1, 2005  
Whitewater Hall – Room 132  
11:00 AM

## **Presiding; Cathy Ludlum Foos, Faculty Senate President**

**Present:** Armstead, S.; Baldwin, L.; Barton, G.; Branstrator, P.; Braxton-Brown, G.; Bullock, D.; Chang, W.; Clapp-Itnyre, A.; Cooksey, A.; Cowling, J.; Doerger, D.; Dulemba, S.; Felton, K.; Fitzgerald, E.; Folkerth, M.; Foos, M.; Frantz, D.; Fulton, D.; Harper, J.; Helton, E.; Huffman, E.; Humphries, P.; Jerzak, P.; Knuths, J.; Lafuze, J.; Lemming, E.; Ludlum Foos, C.; McFadden, S.; Meadow, L.; Osgood, T.; Peacock, F.; Pomper, M.; Ramsey, R.; Richards, L.; Rincon, L.; Rivard, T.; Roswell, R.; Sabine, N.; Scott, W.; Seddighin, M.; Slattery, E.; Stanforth, D.; Szopa, A.; Thomas, T.; Weber, G.; Wilde, J.; Winburn, E.

**Absent:** Baker, D.; Baumann, P.; Bergen, M.; Bingaman, R.; Blakefield, M.; Bow, C.; Clark, K.; Curry, M.; Dempsey, K.; Dhawale, K.; Englert, L.; Fell, M.; Goerss, B.; Hamilton, S.; Jayasuriya, Kirk, B.; Koenig, M.; Kreamelmeyer, K.; Kriese, P.; Mahaffey, J.; Marschall, T.; McFadden, B.; Morse, M.; Naaeke, A.; Nishihara, L.; Passet, J.; Powell, M.; Rankin, S.; Rao, V.; Roberts, M.; Roman-Royer, J.; Romero, M.; Rudmann, D.; Scales, T.; Shapiro, S.; Stolle, C.; Thomas Evans, M.; Tolley, R.; Wagor, W.; Watkins, M.; Williamson, M.;

Guests: Dan Dooley

## **Call to Order**

Quorum was finally reached at 11:15, at which point the meeting was called to order by Cathy Ludlum Foos, Faculty Senate President.

### **I. Approval of Minutes**

A motion to accept the minutes of the February 1, 2004 meeting was presented. The minutes were accepted as presented.

### **II. President's Report**

Next month faculty senate will vote for all standing committees and it is time to indicate preferences for senate committees faculty want to serve on. Senate President, Cathy Ludlum Foos has been working with Rena Dilworth, Beth Slattery (Nominating Committee), and Executive Council in an effort to make committee preference selection easier. Faculty Senate members will be sent an e-mail with a list of all the committees and ask for preference on which committees members want to serve. If a member is not familiar with what the committee does, a hyperlink will take the member to committee descriptions. Cathy Ludlum Foos hopes the new method will be more effective

Joan Lafuze acknowledges the committee and Cathy's efforts.

III. Cathy Ludlum Foos announced there would not be a Student LEADER Report at today's meeting as the LEADERS had just returned early this morning from Daytona Beach, Florida, where they attended the Student Leadership Conference.

### **IV. Standing Committees**

#### **A. Nominating Committee**

1. Slate selection for Promotion and Tenure and Nominating Committee. Paper ballots were distributed by Alisa Clapp-Itnyre, Beth Slattery, and Neil Sabine. The president reminded people that the Promotion and Tenure Committee has membership restrictions. One committee member must be a full professor. Lora Baldwin asked about current composition of Faculty Board of Review because faculty cannot be on both Promotion and Tenure Committee and Board of Review. Cathy Ludlum Foos stated that had been addressed in the preparation of the ballots.

Tom Osgood requested that his name be removed from the Nominating Committee ballot and Promotion and Tenure Committee ballot.

Cathy Ludlum Foos returned to President's Report and thanked the Library faculty for hosting the pre-Senate gathering and announced the April meeting will be hosted by Education Division. Volunteers were solicited for hosting the May meeting. The Chancellor and Vice Chancellor of Academic Affairs (were) volunteered.

Returning the slate nomination ballot, TJ Rivard stated that as chair of a division who has a member coming up for review, he was not eligible to be on the Promotion and Tenure Committee. Alisa Clapp-Itnyre stated the top 4 vote getters will be on the slate.

2. The Nursing Division nominated Diana Stanforth to be a replacement member on the Budgetary Affairs committee. Approved by voice vote.

#### **B. Budgetary Affairs Committee – Voting Item**

**Resolution to open Budget Hearings** (Circular E30-05) presented by Edwina Helton who reminded faculty the proposed resolution is a recommendation only because as a body, Faculty Senate does not have authority to enforce. Resolution passed by voice vote.

#### **C. Curriculum Committee**

##### 1. Voting item:

**Revision to Business Degree** (Circular E33-05) presented by Alisa Clapp-Itnyre. Voting is required because the change affects other divisions--dropping M119 which is taught by NSM and primarily offered for business students. NSM can reduce number of sections offered. The revision will also affect M125, which is a pre-requisite to M119, causing a reduction in number of sections offered.

Mort Seddighin asked what can be bad about having calculus.

Greg Braxton-Brown stated that M119 was an add-on requirement and not required for graduate entrance exam, or admission to graduate school.

Eddy Helton asked how degree will compare to other IU systems and Greg Braxton-Brown answered some require calculus, some do not.

Lora Baldwin asked what percentage of four-year graduates gets associate degree first. Greg Braxton-Brown did not know answer, but stated the campus is trying to get higher percentage as it is a real advantage to the campus for students to earn an associates then a bachelor's degree.

Cathy Ludlum Foos reminded senate members that the Revision to the Business Degree was brought forward and moved and seconded by the Curriculum Committee. Motion on floor is to approve change in business degree. A voice vote was taken; the motion carried.

##### 2. Information Items

- a) E131 folk and Square Dancing (Circular E31-05) be added by HPER.

Wendy Chang suggested that the rationale could be better worded.

- b) Revision to English Degree (Circular E34-05).

English Department previously listed four choices for 2<sup>nd</sup> level writing courses. Making requirement change to just one course to make sure requirement provides a 2<sup>nd</sup> level of academic writing for the students.

- c) Alisa Clapp-Itnyre also discussed the change in process to activate courses from the Master List. The Registrar in Bloomington requires that faculty complete a typed triplicate form. (The Library has a typewriter).

#### **D. General Studies Committee**

TJ Rivard presented the first reading of the proposed Bylaw changes (Circular E32-05) regarding the General Studies Committee.

One change was simply to make the verbs describing the committee's charge more active. The committee proposes to structure the General Studies Committee more like an academic division, headed by a Dean of Continuing Studies. The Division chairs of the Arts and Science Divisions, along with the advisor assigned to General Studies, would constitute the rest of the committee and be in charge of advising and auditing duties. This would provide discussion about curriculum among folks involved in degree auditing. Students would have benefit of having full-time faculty to talk to about majoring in General Studies.

Neil Sabine questioned creating a deanship as another layer of administration.

TJ Rivard stated that the dean was not the primary focus of the committee; the concern was that most faculty members do not have the administrative experience that the division chairs have. Division chairs already do more of the sort of thing that the GS Committee needs to do, so it is easier for them to take on this role than it is for other faculty.

Lora Baldwin asked about budgetary questions, and TJ Rivard answered that budget was not talked about. If reorganization approved, it would be up to administration to find funding.

Joan Lafuze, who has served on the General Studies Committee, asked faculty to not get bogged down with the chair or dean question, which will not be a full-time administration position. She fully endorses what the committee is doing.

Peggy Branstrator wondered if there would be sufficient non-administrative faculty representation; just division chairs makes for a pretty small committee. If the chairs becomes full-time administrators, this committee could end up with no teaching faculty. Committee needs teaching representation to deal with curriculum issues.

Sue McFadden reminded Faculty Senate that the original General Studies Committee was created by the Senate as a Senate committee. This proposal will dissolve the current structure and send it back to the administration.

Cathy Ludlum Foos stated this is first reading with a good discussion. Recommended Senate move on and reexamine next meeting.

Greg Braxton-Brown commented that Faculty Senate should consider approving the motion recommending that the structure be changed to administration.

Anne Szopa asked how many students are General Studies majors now. TJ Rivard responded about 300 students, making it a huge division.

#### **V. Chancellor's Report**

Chancellor Fulton appreciates the recommendation to hold open budget hearings and will work with Budgetary Affairs for next year's hearings, as it is too far along in this year's process for it to be feasible for the current year.

The legislative struggle with regard to budget is ongoing.

The Chancellor has received no additional information on Mission differentiation.

Indiana University East and Purdue University are going public with the Campaign for the Community—a major gifts campaign. Silent giving has been ongoing. Indiana University and Purdue University presidents will be at IUE on March 25, 2005, and at 2:00 pm will meet with the advisory board. There will be a 3:00 reception in Whitewater Hall lobby and all are invited to participate in reception. Open to community.

Chancellor encourages contribution to campaign. When the Campaign for the Community is publicly announced at the end of March, donors will be identified. It is important that faculty and staff names are on the list. IU East faculty and staff have done well contributing to the annual fund. Campaign for Community needs an extra kick and encourages participation from people who most benefit from the success of this campaign.

VI. Academic Affairs Report - Larry Richards deferred to New Business.

VII. AAA - Markus Pomper presented information regarding Student Code of Conduct revision during last Academic year. Recommending changing

12:15 end of scheduled meeting time. Cathy Ludlum Foos asked for motion to continue discussion. Motion made and approved.

Discussion continued. Anne Szopa moved to amend the resolution as follows (additions bolded & underlined, deletions stricken through):

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED

That the faculty at Indiana University East requests that the language in the Code of Student Rights, Responsibilities and Conduct be modified to represent the intent of the following:

*“(lines 68-71) Expect a faculty member to be respectful of the student’s religious observances. Instructors are required to make reasonable accommodations when a student must miss an exam or other academic exercise resulting from the observance of a religious holiday.”*

*”(lines 73-75) **Have the freedom to raise relevant issues pertaining to classroom discussion, to offer reasonable doubts about data presented, and to express alternative opinions without concern for any academic penalty.** ~~Have the freedom to express doubt arising from personal, political or religious disagreements without fear of academic penalty.~~ Students have the right to offer academically sound alternative opinions. Students have the right to expect that their work will be evaluated by academic standards alone.”*

TJ Rivard seconded the motion to amend; motion carried.

Meeting adjourned at 12:24 pm as quorum was lost.