

Admissions and Academic Affairs Committee
January 28, 2005
Minutes

Present:

Denise Bullock,
Cheryl Stolle,
Laverne Nishihara,
Lora Baldwin,
Markus Pomper, Chair

Guest:

Felicia Gibbons, Student LEADER

Absent:

Margaret Thomas Evans

The committee met on January 28, 2005 at 11:00 am to discuss the proposed student code of Ethics, in it draft version of 1/24/05.

The following changes were suggested and recorded by Denise Bullock. Denise will communicate these suggestions to the UFC Student Affairs Committee.

Suggested changes to the Student Code of Ethics draft 1/24/05

- In general, use consistent punctuation throughout the document.
- Line 38—Omit word “excellent”
- Line 58—I will check on the status of this new policy.
- Line 63—Add words “Expect to” before interact with faculty...
- Line 64—Replace “regularly” with “as scheduled”.
- Line 64—Question raised if the accessible for office hours or appointments statement adequately includes on-line taught courses.
- Line 86—Question raised on what/who constitutes the university community. Would this include, for example, a guest speaker?
- Line 125—Add the language “on the basis of arbitrary consideration (as used in line 91) to the statement on discriminatory harassment.
- Line 167—Typo “...and picketing that do no” should read “does not”.
- Line 200—AAA had several suggestions for inclusion. 1) Address the issue of subject specific disability (i.e. a student is foreign language learning deficient. Should that student be allowed to earn a degree that requires that subject); 2) Add a statement that students are responsible for notifying the instructor/university of any disability as well as responsible for requesting assistance; 3) Add a statement that students may not request easier exams or material of a different content on the basis of a disability and; 4) Add a statement that students may not use a disability to justify inappropriate behavior.

- Lines 225-6—This statement is in direct conflict with the policy on sexual harassment. Suggest adding a statement that protects the complainant of a sexual harassment so that her/his identity is protected and he/she are not forced to confront the offender.
- Line 391-- Remove the words “or not”.
- Line 395—Replace “e-mail” with “electronic messages”
- Line 465-- Add “Intentionally” before obstructing.
- Line 481—Add “or criminal” after personal then, omit lines 501-539.
- Line 531—If the section remains... replace “e-mail” with electronic messages.
- Lines 510-538—If the section remains... provide brief definitions of the offenses.

Procedural Guidelines document.

- In general, it should be made clear in the document who is the first point of contact on each campus.
- In general, there are grammatical errors throughout.

The committee decided to let Denise Bullock lead the conversation regarding the Student Code of Conduct in the Feb 1 faculty senate meeting.

The committee adjourned at 12:30 pm.