

Revisions to Faculty Misconduct Policy

Key changes in this draft

- **I.C:** Full time non-tenure track faculty included
- **I.D:** Misconduct defined exclusively as egregious violations of Code of Academic Ethics; extended to some behaviors that occur off campus
- **III.A:** Standard of evidence is “clear and convincing evidence”
- **III.C.1:** Definition and disposition of emergency cases. Emergency cases follow normal review process.
- **III.C.8:** Student witnesses must be accompanied by non-participating advisors
- **III.C.10:** Role of counsel in hearings limited

Amendment to D-27 revision

Section 1.D:

Serious personal or professional misconduct is defined exclusively as an egregious violation of the Code of Academic Ethics (~~Policy I, section 1.1~~ **ACA 33**).

2nd amendment to D-27 revision

Section 1.A:

The present policy sets forth procedures for reviewing cases where faculty members may be guilty of “serious personal or professional misconduct” **or substantial and chronic incompetence.**

Background